

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 BLAKE LAWRENCE ANDERSON,

Case No. 2:25-cv-01014-APG-BNW

4 Petitioner,

Dismissal Order

5 v.

[ECF No. 1, 1-2, 4, 5, 5-1]

6 NATHANAH BREITENBACH, *et al.*

7 Respondents.

8 *Pro se* Petitioner Blake Lawrence Anderson commenced this action by filing a habeas
9 petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and moving for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”). ECF
10 Nos. 1-1, 1-2. On June 15, 2025, I ordered Anderson to (1) either pay the \$5 filing fee or submit
11 his missing IFP documents *and* (2) file an amended petition on the Court’s approved form. ECF
12 No. 3. The Clerk of the Court sent Anderson a blank copy of the IFP application form for
13 inmates along with instructions and—because it was unclear whether Anderson was seeking
14 habeas relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 or 28 U.S.C. § 2254—a blank copy of the form petitions
15 for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 *and* 28 U.S.C. § 2241 along with
16 instructions. *Id.* Anderson was warned that “failure to timely comply with this Order will result
17 in the dismissal of this action without prejudice and without further advance notice.” *Id.*

18 On June 18, 2025, Anderson filed an emergency motion for expedited discovery and
19 subpoena authority. ECF No. 4. And on July 16, 2025, Anderson filed a non-inmate IFP
20 application and an “Emergency Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (28 U.S.C. § 2241) With
21 Claims of Kidnapping for Ransom (18 U.S.C. § 1201) & Fraudulent Inducement,” seeking
22 “immediate release and a federal investigation into Nevada’s profit-driven detention system.”
23 ECF Nos. 5, 5-1. Anderson has failed to comply with my June 15, 2025, Order. Instead of filing

1 an inmate IFP application with supporting documents, he filed a non-inmate IFP application and
2 no supporting documents. And instead of filing a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition on this Court's form,
3 he filed a type-written document, which fails to provide necessary information. Accordingly, I
4 dismiss Anderson's petition without prejudice. If Anderson wishes to pursue relief in this Court,
5 he must file a new petition in a new case.

6 I THEREFORE ORDER that Anderson's habeas petitions [ECF No. 1-2, 5-1] are
7 dismissed without prejudice based upon his failure to comply with my Order [ECF No. 3].

8 I FURTHER ORDER that the motions to proceed IFP [ECF Nos. 1, 5] are denied.

9 I FURTHER ORDER that the emergency motion for expedited discovery [ECF No. 4] is
10 denied.

11 I FURTHER ORDER that a Certificate of Appealability is denied, as jurists of reason
12 would not find dismissal of this action for the reasons stated herein to be debatable or wrong.

13 I FURTHER KINDLY ORDER that that the Clerk of Court (1) add Nevada Attorney
14 General Aaron D. Ford as counsel for Respondents,¹ (2) send the Nevada Attorney General a
15 copy this Order and all other filings in this matter by regenerating the notices of electronic filing,
16 (3) enter final judgment, and (4) close this case.

17 DATED: July 18, 2025.

18
19 
20 _____
21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

23 _____
¹No response is required from Respondents other than to respond to any orders of a reviewing court.